

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/980,880  | 09/03/2002     | Yoshio Goda          | MAT-8189US              | 1394            |
| 75  | 590 04/01/2005 |                      | EXAM                    | INER            |
| Lawrence E Ashery   |                |                      | HODGE, ROBERT W         |                 |
| Ratner & Prestia  |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| One Westlakes Berwyn Suite 301 PO Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980 |                |                      | 1746                    | THE EXTRONOLOGY |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/01/2009 | <b>.</b>        |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | h  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summan  | 09/980,880  | GODA ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| The MAIL INC DATE of this communication   | Robert Hodge  | 1746   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply   | opears on the cover sheet with the (  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP<br>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu<br>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <u></u> .   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · <u> </u>  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/   | awn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2001 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | e: a)  accepted or b)  objected or b objected or b objected or abeyance. Se otion is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Applicat<br>ority documents have been receive<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/05 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/01.</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:  | ate Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050330

Application/Control Number: 09/980,880 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. Figures 8-12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 1-3, 16, 18, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Onagawa et al. Patent Abstracts of Japan No. 08-273649, hereinafter Onagawa et al.
- 4. Onagawa et al. teaches a battery with a case, positive electrode, negative electrode, electrolyte, gasket sealing plate, a filter, a cap and a valve body, wherein said cap has a convex portion and a flange portion, and said filter has a bend portion, with

Application/Control Number: 09/980,880 Page 3

**Art Unit: 1746** 

caulk used as a sealant, wherein the valve body covers a hole in the filter portion and the filter and cap are in electrical communication with one another (abstract, paragraph [0006] and figure 1).

- 5. Claims 1-3, 16, 18, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Applicants' Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- 6. AAPA discloses a battery with a case, positive electrode, negative electrode, electrolyte, gasket sealing plate, a filter, a cap and a valve body, wherein said cap has a convex portion and a flange portion, and said filter has a bend portion, with caulk used as a sealant, wherein the valve body covers a hole in the filter portion and the filter and cap are in electrical communication with one another (figures 8-12 and pages 1-6 of applicants' specification)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onagawa et al. in view of Ishizuka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,019,802 herein after Ishizuka et al.
- 9. Onagawa et al. teaches everything in the above 102 rejection as well as gas holes in the cap (abstract, paragraph [0006] and figure 1).
- 10. Onagawa et al. does not teach that the battery is cylindrical in shape.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,880

Art Unit: 1746

11. Ishizuka et al. teaches that a battery case is cylindrically shaped, which would inherently have a cylindrical opening especially since it receives a cylinder (column 22, lines 34-36).

Page 4

- 12. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the case for the battery in the Onagawa et al. reference cylindrical as taught by Ishizuka et al. in order to easily receive the battery cell which is rolled and to use a well-known industry standard to provide batteries that can be used in multiple applications because of the standardization.
- 13. Claims 5-15, 17, 19-22, 24 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onagawa et al. in view of Nishino et al. Patent Abstracts of Japan No. 08-339785.
- 14. Onagawa et al. teaches everything in the above 102 rejection.
- 15. Onagawa et al. does not teach that a projection or a plurality there of is/are located at the outer periphery of said flange portion or what the shape of said projection is.
- 16. Nishino et al. teaches providing a protrusion of concentrical circumference in an outer portion of said flange portion (figure 1, abstract and paragraphs [0007-0008]).
- 17. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a protrusion in the outer periphery of the flange portion of the sealing cap as taught by Nishino et al. in the Onagawa et al. reference in order to improve leakage resistance of the sealed battery. It would also be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a plurality of said protrusions since it has been held

Application/Control Number: 09/980,880 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RWH 3-30-05

MICHAEL BARR
SUPERVISORY PATENT FYAMINER